

Bad Faith Trademarks: An INTA Perspective

Nick Redfearn, Enforcement Head, Rouse INTA Asia Bad Faith Task Force head

# **INTA and bad faith:**

- Bad faith filings have become a worldwide issue every jurisdiction is a target - digital world makes ALL brands very visible
- Priority focus for INTA
- Enforcement Committee created a special Task Force in 2018
- Survey across 90 jurisdictions, leading to a report on bad faith
- Based on the results of the report, Board Resolution on bad faith was adopted by the INTA Board of Directors in 2020



#### Survey takeaways: Most countries....

- ....recognize bad faith as a serious issue
- ...do not provide option to reject bad faith applications during prosecution, or have evidentiary burden that hinders successful claim
- ...allow bad faith to be raised as grounds in opposition or cancellation proceedings, but not always both
- ...require at times burdensome evidence to support bad faith claim

Key Conclusion: Awareness confirmed but tools often ineffective or insufficient

 $\rightarrow$  INTA must take a formal position on bad faith trademarks – approved by the Board with Resolution November 2020



#### **INTA's Board Resolution – best practices**

#### • Best Practices:

- 1. Bad faith must be a standalone ground for refusal, including *ex officio*
- 2. Explicitly recognize bad faith as a standalone ground for opposition and invalidation
- 3. Shift the burden of proof in certain circumstances require applicant to prove good faith
- 4. Maintain records on bad faith filers
- 5. Damage awards and fines
- Illustrative list of factors to be considered when assessing bad faith



#### **INTA's Board Resolution: Illustrative Factors**

- 1. Previously identified as a bad faith filer
- 2. Prior relationship with legitimate rights holder
- 3. Attempt to sell, at elevated price
- 4. Identical or highly similar marks
- 5. Rightful owner has other relevant IP rights
- 6. Similar pattern of behavior
- 7. Indiscriminate coverage obviously incompatible with honest interest
- 8. Multiple filings of various marks
- 9. Multiple "nuisance" filings (reference 2015 BR)
- 10. Lack of evidence of genuine business activity or *bona fide* intent to use



#### **INTA's rationale**

- Address cases of bad faith filings at prosecution level
- Administrative proceedings versus judicial proceedings less costly, less time intensive
- Prevent initial registration of bad faith filings
- Option of swiftly preventing registration acts as deterrent against other bad faith filers
- Office based oppositions and cancellations are second option
- Keep cases out of courts
- Damages and fines increase the deterrent effect



# **Case Study: United Kingdom**

"...a pattern of behaviour by companies under Mr. Gleissner's control making it <u>highly improbable that [he] had a bona fide</u> <u>intention</u> to use the mark...<u>motivation on the part of the</u> <u>Applicant to interfere with the legitimate business interests</u>...

I find the combination easily overcomes the presumption of good faith and founds a **prima facie basis for bad faith**."

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION UNDER NO. 3193965

BY

TRUMP INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

TO REGISTER THE TRADE MARK

TRUMP TV

IN CLASSES 38 AND 41



# **Case Study: Canada**

• "Indeed, there is <u>no evidence from the Respondent to rebut</u> <u>the inference</u> created by the circumstantial evidence or to indicate any intention to use the JU DIAN & Design Mark as a trademark in association with its own restaurant services...

The evidence demonstrates that the Respondent registered the JU DIAN & Design Mark <u>without a legitimate commercial</u> <u>purpose</u>. In my view, the circumstances here constitute bad faith...."





# Case Study: Indonesia cancellation case

• Applicant sought to register marks...





• that were confusingly similar to existing, well-known marks...

# BLOOMINGDALES bloomingdales

 already registered / used in numerous classes and across numerous jurisdictions



#### **SE Asia approaches**

Country	BF in examination	BF in Opps	BF in court
Indonesia	Υ	Y	Υ
Vietnam *	Υ	Υ	Υ
Philippines	Υ	Y	Υ
Malaysia °	Ν	N, but alternatives	N, but alternatives
Singapore	Υ	Y	Υ
Thailand °	Ν	N, but alternatives	N, but alternatives

\* Law recently amended, guidance still to follow

<sup>o</sup> In practice, other grounds have been interpreted to include BF



# Malaysia detailed example:

- Bad faith not grounds for rejection of application in prosecution
- Bad faith not grounds for rejection of application in opposition
- Expungement suits against registered TM based on bad faith – S 45 1 (a) TMA (1976)/47 (3) TMA (2019) expungement by the court
- Prior rights/fraud



# SE Asia INTA's plans

- Vietnam work with IPV on new Circular for its new IP law which covers BF for the first time
- Philippines continue engagement with IPOPHL following BF training last year
- Indonesia explore BF training needs for DGIP
- Thailand set up engagement on BF with IPD
- Malaysia follow up recent BF training with MyIPO



# Thank you!

